Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/595,094	SHIMADA, TOSHIO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LEAH S. LOVELL	2885	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>80</u>		
(1) <u>LEAH S. LOVELL</u> .	(3)		
(2) Walter Pledger, US Reg.55,540.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>15 September 2008</u>	Time: <u>4pm</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No			
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 8, 12, 14-17			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(A ₁	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A proposed amendment to claims 8 and 12 to incorporate the limitations of claims 16 and 17, respectively was presented to Applicant. Applicant accepts these changes as refleted in the attached Examiner's Amendment. Examiner discussed with applicant regarding a possible election of species over the amendments to claim 14-15. In order to place application in condition for allowance, applicant agreed with cancelling claims 14 and 15.